

## REMARKS

Claims 59-84 remain pending in the present application. Claims 59-83 have been allowed. Claim 84 has been amended. Basis for the amendments can be found throughout the specification, drawings, and claims as originally filed.

### REJECTION UNDER 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

The Examiner has rejected Claim 84 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Schultz et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,480,734) in view of Bunyea (U.S. Patent No. 4,871,629) and Mita (U.S. Patent No. 5,456,994).

Applicants have amended Claim 84 to be patterned after allowed Claim 59. Accordingly, Applicants believe amended Claim 84 to be patentably distinguishable over the art cited by the Examiner.

In light of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that all pending claims are in condition for allowance. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to pass the case to issue at his earliest possible convenience. Should the Examiner have any questions regarding the present application, he should not hesitate to contact the undersigned at (248) 641-1600.


Dated: July 11, 2007

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.  
P.O. Box 828  
Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303  
(248) 641-1600

WRDT/lkj

Respectfully submitted,

By:

  
W. R. Duke Taylor  
Reg. No. 31,306